

**RADER,****FISHMAN****& GRAUER****PLLC**

*Worldwide Intellectual Property Matters • Patents • Trademarks  
Litigation • Copyrights • U.S. and Foreign Portfolio Management  
Computer and Internet Law • Trade Secrets • Unfair Competition*

**RECEIVED**

AUG 07 2003

Technology Center 2600

**To:** Examiner J. Pezzlo**From:** Michael R. Bascobert**Fax:** 703-872-9314**Pages:** 16 + Coversheet**Phone:****Date:** August 5, 2003

**Re:** Application No. 09/617,816 filed July 17, 2000 entitled "Telephony Communication Via Varied Redundant Networks; Attorney Docket No. 00-VE03.13 (65632-0065)

☐ Urgent ☐ For Review ☐ Please Comment ☐ Please Reply ☐ Please Recycle

This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable laws. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return original message to us at the above address via U.S. Postal Service.  
Thank you.


● **Comments:**

12/RESPONSE  
P.02  
A. Wims  
8/13/03Practitioner's Docket No. 00-VE03.13 (65632-0065)

PATENT

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service  
with sufficient postage as first class mail, in an envelope  
addressed to the Commissioner of Patents,  
Washington, DC 20231☒ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.  
to Examiner John Pezzlo at 703-872-9314  
Signature

Date: August 5, 2003

Diane R. Lytle

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

In re Application of:

Group Art Unit: 2662

AUG 07 2003

Farris et al.

Examiner: J. Pezzlo

Technology Center 2600

Appln. No.: 09/617,816

Filed: July 17, 2000

For: TELEPHONY COMMUNICATION VIA VARIED REDUNDANT NETWORKS

Box Patent Application  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313

OFFICIAL

## AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

## STATUS

2. Applicant is  
[ ] a small entity. A statement:  
[ ] is attached.  
[ ] was already filed.  
[ x ] other than a small entity.

(Amendment Transmittal—page 1 of 4)

00/13/2003 DSRV00 09617816  
00000001 180013 110.00 DA  
01 FC:1251

**EXTENSION OF TERM**

**NOTE:** "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) — If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

*If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35)*

**NOTE:** See 37 C.F.R. § 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. § 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

- (a) ☐ Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below:

	Extension (months)	Fee for other than <u>small entity</u>	Fee for <u>small entity</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	two months	\$ 410.00	\$ 205.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	three months	\$ 930.00	\$ 465.00
<input type="checkbox"/>	four months	\$ 1,450.00	\$ 725.00

Fee: \$ 110.00

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

- ☐ An extension for \_\_\_\_\_ months has already been secured. The fee paid therefor of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.

Extension fee due with this request \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**OR**

- (b) ☐ Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

(Amendment Transmittal—page 2 of 4)

**FEE FOR CLAIMS**

4. The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3) SMALL ENTITY			OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY		
Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Addit. Fee	OR	Rate	Addit. Fee
Total	Minus		= 0	x \$9 =	\$		x \$18 =	\$ 0
Indep.	Minus		= 0	x \$42 =	\$		x \$84 =	\$ 0
[ ] First Presentation of Multiple Dependent Claim				+ \$130 =	\$		+ \$260 =	\$
					Total Addit. Fee	OR	Total Addit. Fee	\$ 0

\* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.

\*\* If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20".

\*\*\* If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3".

The "Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or Indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.

**WARNING:** "After final rejection or action (§ 1.113) amendments may be made canceling claims or complying with any requirement of form which has been made." 37 C.F.R. § 1.116(a) (emphasis added).

(complete (c) or (d), as applicable)

- (c) [ x ] No additional fee for claims is required.

OR

- (d) [ ] Total additional fee for claims required \$ \_\_\_\_\_.

**FEE PAYMENT**

5. [ ] Attached is a check in the sum of \$ \_\_\_\_\_.
- [ x ] Charge Account No. 18-0013 the sum of \$ 110.00 for the Extension of Time fee.
- A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

(Amendment Transmittal—page 3 of 4)

**FEE DEFICIENCY**

**NOTE:** *If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).*

6. ☒ If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 18-0013

**AND/OR**

- ☒ If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 18-0013

Date: August 5, 2003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Glenn E. Forbis, Reg. No. 40,610  
Michael R. Bascobert, Reg. No. 44,525  
(type or print name of practitioner)

Tel. No. 248 594 0600

39533 Woodward Ave., Suite 140  
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304

CUSTOMER NO.: 32127

(Amendment Transmittal—page 4 of 4)